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Abstract

We review recent developments and advances in multiferroic and magnetoelectric heterostructures. Driven by the promise of new materials functionality (i.e. electric field control of ferromagnetism), extensive on-going research is focused on the search for and characterization of new multiferroic materials. In this review we develop a comprehensive overview of multiferroic materials, including details on the nature of order parameters and coupling in these materials, the scarcity of such materials in single phase form, routes to create and control the properties of these materials, and we finish by investigating such effects in a number of model materials and heterostructures. This includes an in-depth discussion of BiFeO 3, an investigation of recent advances in magnetoelectric materials, and an introduction to a variety of approaches by which one can achieve novel materials functionality.
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1. Introduction

Complex oxides represent a broad class of materials that have a wide range of crystal structures and functionalities. Among them, the study of magnetic, ferroelectric, and more recently, multiferroic properties has stimulated considerable interest. The study of multiferroic and magnetoelectric materials, in particular, has experienced a dramatic increase in research effort over the past decade and today we see the emergence of real-life applications based on these efforts. This work has been driven, in part, by the development of new thin film growth techniques and the resulting access to high-quality materials for further study. In general, the field of functional oxide materials has experienced unprecedented growth during the past decades in terms of the discovery of new materials systems and physical phenomena, advances in characterization, and development of deeper understanding of the fundamental properties and how to control these properties through systematic changes in crystal chemistry (i.e. doping or alloying), strain, and other variables. Perhaps the most interesting recent manifestation of the complex and rich diversity of physical phenomena is the emergence of coupled behavior, in which the lattice, orbital, spin, and charge degrees of freedom are coupled through either quantum mechanics or through engineering design of artificial heterostructures. Of course, such coupling between phenomena is not necessarily new. Piezoelectrics exhibit coupling between mechanics and electrical degrees of freedom and ferromagnetic shape memory alloys exhibit coupling between magnetism and mechanics. But in the last decade, renewed interest in the potential of coupling between the spin and charge degrees of freedom has fascinated researchers and engineers not only from a fundamental perspective, but from an applied direction as well. Imagine a world in which one can control and manipulate magnetism with electric fields (which are intrinsically much easier to use in an actual device, especially in small dimensions, and can potentially provide routes to lower power/energy consumption in systems), thus eliminating currents and magnetic fields.

⇑ Corresponding author.
E-mail address: lwmartin@illinois.edu (L.W. Martin).
Creating novel materials and combinations of materials is thus a critical component that enables the exploration of such fascinating phenomena. The power of advanced materials synthesis has been demonstrated in a large number of instances; for example, semiconductor epitaxy has led not only to a large number of technologies, also to several Nobel prizes. Researchers in oxide science have taken a page out of the semiconductor lexicon and consequently, materials synthesis plays a critical role in enabling the study of such novel materials. In this paper, the recent advances in the growth and characterization of multiferroic and magnetoelectric oxide materials (in particular the model multiferroic BiFeO₃) and the interplay between synthesis, theory, and experimental probes will be reviewed. We will summarize with a look to the future of complex oxide materials with special attention given to possible areas of impact for future technologies.

2. The crystal chemistry of complex oxides

The general field of metal oxide materials has been the focus of much study because of the broad range of structures, properties, and exciting phenomena present in these materials [1,2]. The perovskite structure, which has the chemical formula ABO₃ (i.e. CaTiO₃, SrRuO₃, BiFeO₃), is made up of corner-sharing octahedra with the A-cation coordinated with twelve oxygen ions and the B-cation with six. The structure can easily accommodate a wide range of valence states on both the A- and B-sites (i.e. A⁺¹B⁵⁺O₃, A⁺²B⁴⁺O₃, A⁺³B⁵⁺O₃) and can exhibit complex defect chemistry (including accommodation of a few percentage of cation non-stoichiometry, large concentrations of oxygen vacancies, and exotic charge accommodation modes ranging from disproportionation to cation ordering and beyond) that maintains charge balance in the structure [3]. One of the most interesting aspects of complex oxides is our ability to engineer or tune their physical properties simply by replacing, substituting, or varying the ratio of the cationic species that are located at the A- and B-sites of the structure. Selection of the appropriate alloying species (chosen to have different formal valence, ionic radius, etc.) can dramatically impact the structural, electronic, magnetic, polar, etc. properties of these materials. In the end, the electronic structure and coordination chemistry of the cationic species control the fundamental physical phenomena manifested in these materials – producing phases that are insulators, metals, superconductors, ion conductors, possess polar distortions and electromechanical responses, magnetic order, and beyond.

The in-depth work in this field has produced a number of design algorithms that allow one to fundamentally manipulate the properties in these perovskite phases and provide guidelines by which one can engineer their properties. As an example, let us consider the case of the prototypical perovskite SrTiO₃, which is a good insulator as a consequence of the closed shell electronic structure of both Sr (2⁺) and Ti (4+) and d⁰). From a band perspective, the valence band (predominantly oxygen 2p in character) is filled while the conduction band (predominantly Ti 3d in character) is empty. Simply changing the A-site cation from Sr to La, however, makes a dramatic difference in the electronic structure as well as in the transport properties. In LaTiO₃, the Ti is in the 3+ oxidation state and thus in a formal sense has a d⁰ electronic structure. As such, it is expected to be a good electrical conductor; however, the reality is something dramatically different. LaTiO₃ is actually a very good insulator; a so-called Mott insulator [4]. This insulating behavior arises due to the interplay between the kinetic energy of the electron and Coulombic repulsion effects at the atomic scale. In mixed A-site compounds (i.e. Sr₁₋ₓLaₓTiO₃), these two terms trade off one another and the manifested transport properties are the result of this competition. Such materials exhibit strong (sometimes colossal) changes in their transport properties under external thermodynamic stimuli (i.e. temperature, magnetic field, electric field, chemical potential, etc.). Taking this one step further, if one were to take the LaTiO₃ structure and change the cation at the B-site (the transition metal site), for instance by replacing the Ti with Mn, then one will obtain the antiferromagnetic insulator (insulating for the same reason as for LaTiO₃) LaMnO₃. There are a number of exquisite treatises on the nature of electronic conduction in oxides (see, for example, Refs. [4,5]) and so we will not elaborate further on this subject here, except to note that the entire evolution of the physics and chemistry of these materials and their implementation into next-generation technologies are dependent on the complex interplay between the cationic stereochemistry, electronic structure, and the interactions among them.

Among the most important aspects in understanding any class of materials is understanding the role of and how to control point defects in materials. In traditional semiconductor systems this control meant mitigation of defects so that one can achieve the true intrinsic electronic properties desired. The study of defects in oxides has a long and rich history. From fast ionic conduction in non-stoichiometric oxides [6] to superconductivity in hole-doped cuprates [7–9] to colossal magnetoresistance in alloyed manganites [10], defects can be essential in defining the properties and performance of oxide materials. Additionally, the multi-component oxide systems, such as the perovskites, are susceptible to complex defect structure development, including compensating densities of cationic vacancies, oxygen vacancies, and the formation of defect complexes (i.e. clusters of defects that exhibit different energetics and behavior from single point defects). For an in-depth review of defects in oxide materials the reader is directed to Refs. [3,11].

3. Multiferroism and magnetoelectricity

A hallmark of perovskites is the large variety of functional responses such as ferroelectricity, piezoelectricity, pyroelectricity, ferromagnetism, antiferromagnetism, etc.,
that form the underpinnings for both advanced technologies (such as ferroelectric nonvolatile memories, SONAR, transformers, etc.) and a wealth of basic science (for example in the colossal magnetoresistant (CMR) manganites). Such a broad range of responses can be enabled within a framework of the oxygen coordination octahedron, by simply changing the cationic occupations of the A- and B-sites. The interplay between the electronic and ionic structure of the cations at the A- and B-sites as well as their ionic sizes, leads to the rich diversity of structural derivatives of the basic simple cubic structure, manifesting in the many types of physical responses. Over the past several years, the exploration of these individual functional responses has evolved into the exploration of coupled order, namely the existence of multiple order parameters, as exemplified by multiferroics. From the investigation of bulk single crystals to novel characterization techniques that probe order parameters, coupling, and spin dynamics this is truly a multiferroic order parameters – such as ferroelectricity, ferromagnetism, and ferroelasticity. Magnetoelastic coupling typically refers to the linear magnetoelastic effect manifested as an induction of magnetization by an electric field or polarization by a magnetic field [13]. The overlap required of ferroic materials to be classified as multiferroic is shown schematically in Fig. 1 [14]. Only a small subgroup of all magnetically and electrically polarizable materials are either ferromagnetic or ferroelectric and fewer still simultaneously exhibit both order parameters. The ultimate goal for device functionality would be a single phase multiferroic with strong coupling between ferroelectric and ferromagnetic order parameters, enabling simple control over the magnetic nature of the material with an applied electric field at room temperature. Some possible pathways to multiferroic behavior are presented in Table 1.

3.1. The model multiferroic, BiFeO₃

There are several pathways available to create coupled order parameters; these are summarized in recent reviews [15,16]. In this review, we will focus specifically on the BiFeO₃ system, since this is essentially the only single-phase multiferroic that simultaneously possesses both magnetic and ferroelectric order at and above room temperature – prompting unprecedented research and study by the multiferroics community. The perovskite BiFeO₃ was first produced in the late 1950s [17] and many of the early studies were focused on the same concepts important today – the potential for magnetoelectric coupling [18]. Throughout the 1960s and 1970s much controversy surrounded the true physical and structural properties of BiFeO₃, but as early as the 1960s it was suspected to be an antiferromagnetic, ferroelectric multiferroic [19,20]. The true ferroelectric nature of BiFeO₃, however, remained somewhat in question until ferroelectric measurements made at 77 K in 1970 [20] revealed a spontaneous polarization of ~6.1 μC cm⁻² along the 111-direction, consistent with the rhombohedral polar space group R₃c determined from single crystal X-ray diffraction [21] and neutron diffraction studies [22]. These findings were confirmed by detailed structural characterization of ferroelectric/ferroelastic monodomain single crystal samples [18]. The structure of BiFeO₃ can be characterized by two distorted perovskite blocks connected along their body diagonal or the pseudocubic (111), to build a rhombohedral unit cell (Fig. 2a) [23]. In this structure the two oxygen octahedra of the cells connected along the (111) are rotated clockwise and counterclockwise around the (111) by ±13.8(3)° and the Fe ion is shifted by 0.135 Å along the same axis away from the oxygen octahedron center position. Such large spontaneous distortions should normally be accompanied

Table 1
Summary of pathways to multiferroic order in materials including various Types I and II routes and prototypical materials.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pathway to Order</th>
<th>Mechanism for multiferroism</th>
<th>Examples</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Type I</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A-site driven</td>
<td>Stereochemical activity of A-site lone pair gives rise to ferroelectricity and magnetism arises from B-site cation</td>
<td>BiFeO₃, BiMnO₃</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Geometrically driven</td>
<td>Long-range dipole–dipole interactions and oxygen rotations drive the system towards a stable ferroelectric state</td>
<td>YMnO₃, BaNiF₄</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Charge ordering</td>
<td>Non-centrosymmetric charge ordering arrangements result in ferroelectricity in magnetic materials</td>
<td>LuFe₂O₄</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Type II</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Magnetic ordering</td>
<td>Ferroelectricity is induced by the formation of a symmetry-lowering magnetic ground state that lacks inversion symmetry</td>
<td>TbMn₂O₄, DyMnO₃</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 1. Relationship between multiferroic and magnetoelectric materials, illustrating the requirements to achieve both in a material (adapted from Ref. [14]).
by large spontaneous polarizations as well; however, this did not seem to cause much consternation in the research community, since magnetism in this system is quite exotic and thus captured a lot of interest.

During the 1980s, the magnetic structure of BiFeO$_3$ was studied in detail. Early studies indicated that BiFeO$_3$ was a G-type antiferromagnet (G-type antiferromagnetic order is shown schematically in Fig. 2d) with a Néel temperature of $\sim$673 K [24] and possessed a cycloidal spin structure with a period of $\sim$620 Å [25]. This spin structure was found to be incommensurate with the structural lattice and was superimposed on the antiferromagnetic order. It was also noted that if the moments were oriented perpendicular to the (111)-polarization direction the symmetry also permits a small canting of the moments in the structure, resulting in a weak canted ferromagnetic moment of the Dzyaloshinskii–Moriya type (Fig. 2d) [26,27].

In 2003 a paper focusing on the growth and properties of thin films of BiFeO$_3$ spawned a dramatic increase in the study of BiFeO$_3$ thin films that continues to the present day. The paper reported enhancements of polarization and related properties in heteroepitaxially constrained thin films of BiFeO$_3$ [28]. Structural analysis of the films suggested differences between films (with a monoclinic structure) and bulk single crystals (with a rhombohedral structure) as well as enhancement of the polarization up to $\sim$90 µC cm$^{-2}$ at room temperature and enhanced thickness-dependent magnetism compared to bulk samples. It is now clear that the high values of polarization observed actually represented the intrinsic polarization of BiFeO$_3$. Limitations in the quality of bulk crystals had kept researchers from observing such high polarization values until much later in bulk samples [29]. A series of detailed first principles calculations methods helped shed light on the findings of Ref. [28]. Calculations of the spontaneous polarization in BiFeO$_3$ suggested a value between 90 and 100 µC cm$^{-2}$ (consistent with those measured in 2003) [30] that have since been confirmed by many other experimental reports. Other theoretical treatments attempted to understand the nature of magnetism and coupling between order parameters in BiFeO$_3$. Such calculations confirmed the possibility of weak ferromagnetism arising from a canting of the antiferromagnetic moments in BiFeO$_3$. The canting angle was calculated to be $\sim$1° and would result in a small, but measurable, magnetization of $\sim$0.05 µB per unit cell [31]. It was also found that the magnetization should be confined to an energetically degenerate, easy [111], perpendicular to the spontaneous polarization direction in BiFeO$_3$. These same calculations further discussed the connection of the weak ferromagnetism and the structure (and therefore ferroelectric nature) of BiFeO$_3$. This allowed the extraction of three conditions necessary to achieve electric-field-induced magnetization reversal: (i) the rotational and polar distortions must be coupled; (ii) the degeneracy between different configurations of polarization and magnetization alignment must be broken; (iii) there must be only one easy magnetization axis in the {111} which could be easily achieved by straining the material [31].

Nonetheless, the true nature of magnetism in thin film BiFeO$_3$ continues to be a contentious subject. The work of Wang et al. presented an anomalously large value of magnetic moment (of the order of 70 emu cm$^{-3}$) [28], which is significantly higher than the expected canted moment of $\sim$8 emu cm$^{-3}$. There have been several studies aimed at clarifying the origins of this anomalous magnetism. Eerenstein et al. [32] proposed that the excess magnetism was associated with magnetic second phases (such as γ-Fe$_2$O$_3$); this was supported by the studies of Béa et al. [33], who showed that BiFeO$_3$ films, when grown under highly reducing conditions (for example under oxygen pressures lower than $1 \times 10^{-3}$ Torr) showed enhanced magnetism as a consequence of the formation of magnetic second phases. It is, however, important to note that low oxygen pressure during growth is not the cause for the enhanced moment in the 2003 report by Wang et al., where films were grown in oxygen pressures between 100 and 200 mTorr and cooled in 760 Torr, rendering formation of such secondary magnetic phases thermodynamically...

![Fig. 2. Structure of BiFeO$_3$ shown looking (a) down the pseudocubic-[110], (b) down the pseudocubic-[111] polarization direction, and (c) at a general three-dimensional view of the structure. (d) The magnetic structure of BiFeO$_3$ is shown, including G-type antiferromagnetic ordering and the formation of the weak ferromagnetic moment (adapted from Ref. [23]).](image-url)
unlikely, and there was no evidence (despite extensive study of samples with X-ray diffraction and transmission electron microscopy techniques) for such second phases. Furthermore, subsequent X-ray magnetic circular dichroism studies supported the assertion that this magnetism is not from a magnetic $\gamma$-Fe$_2$O$_3$ impurity phase [34]. To date, contradicting reports – including those of enhanced magnetism in nanoparticles of BiFeO$_3$ [35] as well as the observation of samples exhibiting no such enhancement – have been presented. It is thus fair to say that this is an issue that remains unresolved in a rigorous sense.

Today, much progress has been made in understanding the structure, properties, and growth of thin films of BiFeO$_3$. High-quality epitaxial BiFeO$_3$ films have been grown via molecular beam epitaxy (MBE) [36,37], pulsed laser deposition [28,38], radio-frequency (RF) sputtering [39,40], metalorganic chemical vapor deposition (MOCVD) [41,42], and chemical solution deposition (CSD) [43] on a wide range of substrates including traditional perovskite oxide substrates (with lattice parameters ranging from 3.86 to 4.01 Å, covering a range from 2.5% compressive strain to 1.3% tensile strain) as well as Si [38,44] and GaN [45]. This work has shown that high-quality films, like those shown in Fig. 3, can be routinely produced. Typical X-ray diffraction $\theta$–$2\theta$ measurements (Fig. 3a) illustrate the state-of-the-art in terms of high-quality, fully epitaxial, single-phase films (data here are for a BiFeO$_3$/SrRuO$_3$/SrTiO$_3$ (001) heterostructure). Detailed X-ray diffraction analysis has shown that films possess a monoclinic distortion of the bulk rhombohedral structure over a wide range of thicknesses, but the true structure of very thin films (<15 nm) remains unclear [46]. The quality of such heterostructures can be probed further by transmission electron microscopy (TEM) (Fig. 3b) [47]. TEM imaging reveals films that are uniform over large areas and with the use of high-resolution TEM we can examine the atomically abrupt, smooth, and coherent interface between BiFeO$_3$ and a commonly used bottom electrode material SrRuO$_3$. Detailed studies of such epitaxial oxide interfaces reveal that the rules of semiconductor heteroepitaxy with regard to relaxation of misfit strain through the formation of interface dislocations are valid for oxides as well. In this specific example, the lattice mismatch between SrRuO$_3$ and BiFeO$_3$ is $\sim$0.3% and thus pseudomorphic growth is possible for thicknesses of $\sim$30 nm. Prior work has shown, for example, that the density of misfit dislocations does indeed scale with the lattice mismatch between the two layers [48].

### 3.2. Evolution of antiferromagnetism in BiFeO$_3$ thin films

As was discussed previously, the structure of BiFeO$_3$ can be characterized by two distorted perovskite blocks connected along their body diagonal or the pseudocubic $(111)$ to build a rhombohedral unit cell. BiFeO$_3$ is also a G-type antiferromagnet with the moments confined to a plane perpendicular to the $(111)$-polarization directions and possesses symmetry that permits a small canting of the moments in the structure, resulting in a weak ferromagnetic moment of the Dzyaloshinskii–Moriya type [26,27]. Ederer and Spaldin suggested that only one easy magnetization axis in the energetically degenerate $(111)$ plane might be selected when the crystal is strained [31]. The application of the appropriate strain (not defined in this initial work) would likely break the degeneracy between the eight available orientation variants possible in this system, thereby lowering the energy of a single direction in the plane. Thus, one critical question concerning magnetism in multiferroics such as BiFeO$_3$ that is of both fundamental and technological importance is how this order parameter develops with strain and size effects. Using angle- and temperature-dependent X-ray linear dichroism measurements and photoemission spectromicroscopy, Holcomb et al. [49] discovered that the antiferromagnetic order in BiFeO$_3$ does evolve and changes systematically as a function of thickness and strain. Lattice mismatch induced strain is found to break the easy-plane magnetic symmetry of the bulk and leads to an easy axis of magnetization which can be

![Fig. 3. (a) X-ray diffraction results from a fully epitaxial, single-phase BiFeO$_3$/SrRuO$_3$/SrTiO$_3$ (001) heterostructure (courtesy of A.R. Damodaran and L.W. Martin). (b) Low-resolution (top) and high-resolution (bottom) transmission electron microscopy images of BiFeO$_3$/SrRuO$_3$/SrTiO$_3$ (001) heterostructure (adapted from Ref. [47]).](image)
controlled via the sign of the strain – 110-type for tensile strain and 112-type for compressive strain. Such an understanding of the evolution of magnetic structure and the ability to manipulate the magnetism in this model multiferroic have significant implications for eventual utilization in applications. In particular, researchers are interested in utilizing the electric field control of antiferromagnetism in materials like BiFeO$_3$ to enable electric field tunable magnetism for memories, logics, sensing and more.

3.3. Role of domain walls in BiFeO$_3$

Recent observations are helping to answer questions surrounding the wide spectrum of magnetic responses observed in BiFeO$_3$ thin films. There is now a growing consensus that epitaxial films (with a thickness less than ~100 nm) are highly strained and thus the crystal structure is more akin to a monoclinic phase rather than the bulk rhombohedral structure. In films >100 nm, depending on the film–substrate lattice mismatch, films can relax to the bulk-like distorted rhombohedral structure and give rise to magnetic properties consistent with the weak canting of the antiferromagnetic moments. Furthermore, a systematic dependence of the ferroelectric domain structure in the film as a function of the growth rate has been observed [50]. Films grown very slowly (for example by MBE, laser MBE, or off-axis sputtering) exhibit a classical stripe-like domain structure that is similar to ferroelastic domains in tetragonal Pb(Zr$_{x}$Ti$_{1-x}$)$_3$O$_3$ films. Due to symmetry considerations, two sets of such twins are observed. These twins are made up of 71° ferroelastic domain walls, which form on the {101}-type planes (which is a symmetry plane). In contrast, if the films are grown rapidly (as was done in the original work of Wang et al. [28]) the domain structure is dramatically different (likely arising from a change in the growth mechanism from a step-flow or layer-by-layer process to an island growth process). It now resembles a mosaic-like ensemble that consists of a dense distribution of 71°, 109°, and 180° domain walls. It should be noted that 109° domain walls form on {001}-type planes (which is not a symmetry plane for this structure). Preliminary measurements reveal a systematic difference in magnetic moment between samples possessing different types and distributions of domain walls. The work of Martin et al. [50] suggests that such domain walls could play a key role in many observations of enhanced magnetic moment in BiFeO$_3$ thin films.

This hypothesis emerges from the work of Přívratská and Janovec [51,52], where detailed symmetry analyses were used to arrive at the conclusion that magnetoelectric coupling could lead to the appearance of a net magnetization in the middle of antiferromagnetic domain walls. Their idea was that domain walls represent a special kind of inhomogeneity where a lowering of the translation symmetry to two dimensions confines the appearance of new effects to a layer – the symmetry of the layer can be described by a so-called layer group. Such layer groups exclude some symmetry elements that may exist in the bulk of a domain (i.e. rotation and inversion axes that are not perpendicular or parallel to the domain wall) or may allow for invariance under operations that interchange domains on the two sides of the wall. Such operations cannot exist in the bulk of domains and thus result in an enhancement of symmetry. Thus the symmetry difference between the bulk of a domain and the domain wall is generally not a simple symmetry lowering and thus not only can induce an appearance of new effects in the domain wall that do not exist in the bulk of a domain, but also can result in the disappearance of some properties that exist in the bulk of the domain. The work of Janovec and colleagues focused on the possible appearance of spontaneously magnetized domain walls joining antiferromagnetic domains, especially in multiferroic/magnetoelectric crystals, while other prior studies suggested the possibility of electric polarization in domain walls in magnetically ordered crystals. [53] In the end, this work showed that this effect is allowed for materials with the $R3c$ space group (i.e. that observed for BiFeO$_3$). Although this analysis raises the possibility of an enhanced moment, the group-symmetry arguments do not allow for any quantitative estimate of that moment.

The idea that novel properties could occur at domain walls in materials presented by Přívratská and Janovec is part of a larger field of study of the morphology and properties of domains and their walls that has taken place over the last 50 years, with increasing recent attention given to the study novel functionality at domain walls [54–56]. For instance, recent work has demonstrated that spin rotations across ferromagnetic domain walls in insulating ferromagnets can induce a local polarization in the walls of otherwise non-polar materials (incommensurate magnetic ordering results from competing exchange interactions and the resulting spin density wave can induce a Lifshitz invariant coupling that induces a uniform electric polarization that breaks the inversion symmetry [16,56], preferential doping along domain walls has been reported to induce two-dimensional superconductivity in $WO_3$ [57] and enhanced resistivity in phosphates [58], while in paraelectric (non-polar) SrTiO$_3$ the ferroelastic domain walls appear to be ferroelectrically polarized [59]. Taking this idea one step further, Daraktchiev et al. [60,61] have proposed a thermodynamic (Landau-type) model with the aim of quantitatively estimating whether the walls in BiFeO$_3$ can be magnetic and if so, to what extent they might contribute to the observed enhancement of magnetization in ultrathin films. One can develop a simple thermodynamic potential incorporating two order parameters expanded up to $P^0$ and $M^0$ terms (the transitions in BiFeO$_3$ are found experimentally to be first order, and the low-symmetry $(\pm P_0, 0)$ phase is described here) with biquadratic coupling between the two order parameters (biquadratic coupling is always allowed by symmetry, and therefore always present in any system with two order parameters). The authors also note that there is no published data on the Landau coefficients for BiFeO$_3$, no esti-
mates of domain-wall magnetization, and no analytical expression of domain wall magnetization as a function domain-wall thickness, coupling, and the all-important Landau coefficients (which determine the accuracy of such phenomenological models). The authors recommend rigorous measurement of the Landau coefficients for BiFeO$_3$ and suggest that this could greatly increase our understanding of these and other topics.

Regardless, when one goes from $+P$ to $-P$, it is energetically more favorable for the domain wall energy trajectory not to go through the center of the landscape ($P = 0$, $M = 0$), but to take a diversion through the saddle points at $M_0 \neq 0$, thus giving rise to a finite magnetization (Fig. 4). The absolute values of the magnetic moment at the domain wall will depend on the values of the Landau coefficients as well as the boundary conditions imposed on the system, namely whether the material is magnetically ordered or not. Analysis of the phase space of this thermodynamic potential shows that it is possible for the net magnetization to appear in the middle of ferroelectric walls, even when the domains themselves are not ferromagnetic (Fig. 4b). The authors of this model note, however, that it is presently only a “conceptual model” which does not take into account the exact symmetry of BiFeO$_3$, so it cannot yet quantitatively estimate how much domain walls can contribute to the magnetization. The exact theory of magnetoelectric coupling at the domain walls of BiFeO$_3$ also remains to be formulated.

Recently, a holistic picture of the connection between processing, structure, and properties has brought to light the role of magnetism at ferroelectric domain walls in determining the magnetic properties in BiFeO$_3$ thin films. By controlling domain structures through epitaxial growth constraints and probing these domain walls with magneto-transport and high-resolution transmission electron microscopy, He et al. [62] have demonstrated that certain types of ferroelectric domain walls (i.e. 109° walls) can possess exotic magnetic properties in BiFeO$_3$. Building off the work of Martin et al. [50], they were able to demonstrate that samples possessing 109° domain walls show significantly enhanced circular dichroism that is consistent with collective magnetic correlations, while samples with only 71° domain walls show no measurable circular dichroism. In summary, it appears that certain domain walls can give rise to enhanced magnetic behavior in BiFeO$_3$ thin films.

Seidel et al. [63] undertook a detailed scanning probe-based study of domain walls in BiFeO$_3$ and discovered a new and previously unanticipated finding: the observation of room temperature electronic conductivity at certain ferroelectric domain walls. The origin of the observed conductivity was explored using high-resolution transmission electron microscopy and first-principles density functional computations. The results showed that domain walls in a multiferroic ferroelectric, such as BiFeO$_3$, can exhibit unusual electronic transport behavior on a local scale that is quite different from that in the bulk of the material. Using a model (110)-oriented BiFeO$_3$/SrRuO$_3$/SrTiO$_3$ heterostructure with a smooth surface (Fig. 5a), the researchers were able to switch the BiFeO$_3$ material is such a way that enabled them to create all the different types of domain walls possible in BiFeO$_3$ (i.e. 71°, 109°, and 180° domain walls) in a local region (Fig. 5b and c). Conducting atomic force microscopy (c-AFM) measurements (Fig. 5d) revealed conduction at 109° and 180° domain walls. Detailed high-resolution transmission electron microscopy studies (Fig. 5e) revealed that this conductivity was, in part, structurally induced and can be activated and controlled on the scale of the domain wall width $-\sim 2$ nm in BiFeO$_3$. From the combined study of conductivity measurements, electron microscopy analysis, and density functional theory calculations, two possible mechanisms for the observed conductivity at the domain walls have been suggested: (1) an increased carrier density as a consequence of the formation of an electrostatic potential step at the wall; and/or (2) a decrease in the band gap within the wall and corresponding reduction in band offset with the c-AFM tip. It was

Fig. 4. Shape of ferroelectric polarization and magnetism across a domain wall in BiFeO$_3$. (a) Ferroelectric polarization goes to zero at the center of the domain wall. (b) A net magnetization appears at the center of the domain wall, even though the domains themselves do not possess a net moment (adapted from Refs. [60,61]).
found that the normal component of the polarization showed a small increase in the vicinity of the domain wall. Calculations indicated that this small change in the normal component of the polarization across these domain walls could lead to a step in the electrostatic potential (planar and macroscopically averaged) of 0.15–0.18 eV across the domain wall, similar to a step computed previously for 90° domain walls in PbTiO₃ [64]. Such a potential step should enhance the electrical conductivity by causing carriers in the material to accumulate at the domain wall to screen the polarization discontinuity. Additionally, the change in the structure (trending towards higher symmetry) could also result in a narrowing of the band gap for this material. It was noted that both situations are the result of the same structural changes at the wall and both may, in principle, be acting simultaneously, since they are not mutually exclusive.

3.4. Magnetoelectric coupling in BiFeO₃

Although many researchers anticipated strong magnetoelectric coupling in BiFeO₃, until the first evidence for this coupling in 2003 there was no definitive proof. Three years after this first evidence, a detailed report was published in which researchers observed the first visual evidence for electrical control of antiferromagnetic domain structures in a single phase multiferroic at room temperature. By combining X-ray photoemission electron microscopy (PEEM) imaging of antiferromagnetic domains (Fig. 6a and b) and piezoresponse force microscopy (PFM) imaging of ferroelectric domains (Fig. 6c and d), they were able to directly observe changes in the nature of the antiferromagnetic domain structure in BiFeO₃ with application of an applied electric field (Fig. 6e) [65]. This research showed that the ferroelastic switching events (i.e. 71° and 109°) resulted in a corresponding rotation of the magnetization plane in BiFeO₃ (Fig. 6f) and has paved the way for further study of this material in attempts to gain room temperature control of ferromagnetism (to be discussed in detail later) and has since been confirmed by neutron diffraction experiments in bulk BiFeO₃ as well [66].

3.5. Horizontal multilayer heterostructures

An alternative approach to obtain a magnetoelectric effect is through multilayered heterostructures. Great strides have been made in the area of composite magnetoelectric systems. These systems operate by coupling the magnetic and electric properties between two materials, generally a ferroelectric material and a ferrimagnetic material, via the lattice (i.e. piezomagnetism couples to piezoelectricity). An applied electric field creates a piezoelectric strain in the ferroelectric, which produces a corresponding strain in the ferrimagnetic material and a subsequent piezomagnetic change in magnetization or the magnetic anisotropy. Work started in the field several decades ago using bulk composites, although experimental magnetoelectric voltage coefficients were far below those calculated theoretically [67]. In the 1990s theoretical calculations showed the possibility for strong magnetoelectric coupling in a multilayer (2–2) configuration; an ideal structure to be examined by the burgeoning field of complex oxide thin-film growth [68]. In this spirit, researchers experimentally tested a number of materials in a laminate thick-film geometry, including ferroelectrics such as Pb(ZrxTi1–x)O₃ [69–74], Pb(Mg0.33Nb0.67)O₃–PbTiO₃ (PMN–PT) [75], and ferromagnets such as TbDyFe₂ (Terfenol-D) [69], NiFe₂O₄ [70,72], CoFe₂O₄ [74], Ni₀.8Zn₀.2Fe₂O₄ [71], La₀.₃Sr₀.₇O₃
MnO$_3$ [73], La$_{0.7}$Ca$_{0.3}$MnO$_3$ [73], and others. These experiments showed great promise and magnetoelectric voltage coefficients up to $\Delta E/\Delta H = 4680$ mV cm$^{-1}$ Oe$^{-1}$ have been observed. Work also continued investigating thin-film heterostructures by combining such ferroelectrics as Ba$_{0.6}$Sr$_{0.4}$TiO$_3$, BaTiO$_3$ [76], and PMN–PT [77] with ferromagnets such as Pr$_{0.85}$Ca$_{0.15}$MnO$_3$ [76] and Tb–Fe/Fe–Co multilayers [77]; however, these attempts were unable to produce magnetoelectric voltage coefficients above a few tens of mV cm$^{-1}$ Oe$^{-1}$. Current theories suggest that the in-plane magnetoelectric interface is limiting the magnitude of this coefficient due to the clamping effect of the substrate on the ferroelectric phase [78]. Since the amount of strain that can be imparted by the ferroelectric phase is limited via this in-plane interfacial geometry, the magnetoelectric voltage coefficient can be reduced by up to a factor of five.

### 3.6. Vertical nanostructures

A seminal paper by Zheng et al. [79] showed that magnetoelectric materials could also be fabricated in a nano-structured columnar fashion (Fig. 7a). By selecting materials that spontaneously separate due to immiscibility, such as spinel and perovskite phases [67], one can create nanostructured phases made of pillars of one material embedded in a matrix of another. Additionally, the large difference in lattice parameters between these phases leads to the formation of pillars with dimensions on the order of 10 nm, ensuring a high interface-to-volume ratio and strong coupling via strain. In this initial paper, researchers reported structures consisting of CoFe$_2$O$_4$ pillars embedded in a BaTiO$_3$ matrix. Such structures were shown to exhibit strong magnetoelectric coupling (Fig. 7b) via changes in magnetization occurring at the ferroelectric Curie temperature of the matrix material. These nanostructures, in which the interface is perpendicular to the substrate, remove the effect of substrate clamping and allow for better strain-induced coupling between the two phases. An explosion of research into alternative material systems followed as the design algorithm proved to be widely applicable to many perovskite–spinel systems. Nanostructured composites with combinations of a number of perovskite...
(BaTiO$_3$ [80], PbTiO$_3$ [81], Pb(Zr$_x$Ti$_{1-x}$)$_2$O$_3$ [82,83], and BiFeO$_3$ [84,85]) and spinel (CoFe$_2$O$_4$ [82,83], NiFe$_2$O$_4$ [81,84], and γ-Fe$_2$O$_3$ [85]) or corundum (α-Fe$_2$O$_3$ [85]) structures have been investigated. Pulsed laser deposition has proven to be a successful growth technique for achieving satisfactory properties in these nanostructured films [80,86,87].

The magnetoelectric coupling coefficients of such materials are often difficult to measure directly, because of issues arising from leakage in the materials. In many cases, the low resistance of the magnetic pillars penetrating through the films or the magnetic matrix limits the ability to probe these properties directly and could limit the efficacy of these vertical nanostructures in devices [88].

Zavaliche et al. [89] showed $\frac{\Delta E}{\Delta H} = 100 \text{ V cm}^{-1} \text{ Oe}^{-1}$ at room temperature in a system comprising CoFe$_2$O$_4$ pillars embedded in a BiFeO$_3$ matrix. These films were analyzed with scanning probe techniques that utilized both magnetized and conducting tips. Typical surface morphology for such samples is shown in Fig. 7c. Magnetic measurements show the preference of such structures to maintain magnetization along the length of the nanopillars. Magnetic force microscopy scans both before (Fig. 7d) and after electric field poling (Fig. 7e) show that a significant number of CoFe$_2$O$_4$ pillars switch their magnetic state from a downward direction to an upward direction upon application of an electric field [90]. This work further showed that the magnetization-switching event was non-deterministic and could be improved by applying a small magnetic field (700 Oe) to the sample. This field is essential to break time reversal symmetry and overcome the degeneracy between the up and down magnetization states. Nonetheless, these structures have been shown to be very versatile and offer an excellent opportunity for electrically controlled magnetic storage.

### 3.7. Phase stability and self-assembled mixed phase nanostructures

Epitaxy presents a powerful pathway to control the phase stability and electronic properties in thin film systems, as has been well demonstrated in semiconductor heteroepitaxy [91]. The BiFeO$_3$ system presents a fascinating parallel in terms of how the phase stability in the system evolves with strain (or stress) imposed through heteroepitaxy. Although the structure of BiFeO$_3$ had been studied for many years [21,22,18], in 2005 the structural stability of the parent phase had come into question [92,93]. This was followed, in turn, by a number of thin film studies reporting that a tetragonally distorted phase (derived from a structure with $P4_{1}m$ symmetry, $a \sim 3.665 \text{ Å}$, and $c \sim 4.655 \text{ Å}$) with a large spontaneous polarization may be possible [92,94,95]. But it was the report of the so-called mixed-phase thin-films possessing tetragonal- and rhombohedral-like phases in complex stripe-like structures that give rise to enhanced electromechanical responses [96] that really launched this field. Zeches et al. observed that the rhombohedral bulk crystal structure of the parent phase

![Fig. 7. Multiferroic nanostructures.](image)
can be progressively distorted into a monoclinic (and perhaps even a triclinic) structure through in-plane lattice mismatch with the substrate. Ab initio calculations of the role of epitaxial strain clearly demonstrate how it can be used to drive a strain-induced structural change in BiFeO$_3$ (Fig. 8a and b). These calculations suggest that at a certain value of epitaxial strain, in the absence of misfit accommodation through dislocation formation, the structure of BiFeO$_3$ discontinuously changes from the distorted rhombohedral parent phase structure to a tetragonal (or slightly monoclinically distorted) structure that is characterized by a large $c/a$ ratio of $\sim 1.26$. This “super-tetragonal” structure is distinctly different from the rhombohedral structure in that the coordination of the Fe ion is now five-fold instead of the usual six-fold coordination in the perovskite structure. Direct atomic resolution images of the two phases (Fig. 8c and d), clearly show the difference in the crystal coordination.

Now, an interesting question arises: what happens if one picks a substrate such as LaAlO$_3$, (that has $\sim 4.5\%$ lattice mismatch with the parent rhombohedral BiFeO$_3$ phase) and grows a film in which this epitaxial constraint is partially relaxed? Such experiments (and the corresponding theoretical aspects) have been explored in some detail. Experimentally, it has been observed that the result is a nanoscale mixed-phase structure, typified by the AFM image and line-trace (Fig. 8e and f, respectively). Fig. 8g is an atomic resolution TEM image of the interface between these two phases and reveals one of the most provocative aspects of the structure. Although there is a large “formal” lattice mismatch between the two phases, the interface appears to be coherent, i.e. it shows no indication for the formation of interphase dislocations. Indeed, this mismatch appears to be accommodated by the gradual deformation of the structure between different phases. In a formal sense, this transformation is termed an isostuctural transformation, i.e. one in which the crystal symmetry does not change, but the coordination does. Thus, one can visualize the nanoscale mixed phase ensemble as analogous to the relaxor ferroelectrics or the CMR manganites, both of which exhibit a nanoscale mixture of two phases, and perhaps presents yet another manifestation of a generic “microstructural” design criterion of creating heterophase mixtures with controllable length scales to achieve the desired properties (such as lath martensite in alloy steels, precipitation hardened Al and Ti alloys) [97,98].

Application of dc electric fields to these mixed-phase structures can give rise to large electromechanical...
responses (as large as 4–5%). In situ TEM studies coupled with nanoscale electrical and mechanical probing reveal that such large strains result from moving the boundaries between different phases [99]. Additional studies have shed light on the true nature of these mixed-phase structures and the mechanism for this strong electromechanical response. To begin, since the work by Zeches et al. additional information has come forth about the symmetry of these phases, including the fact that the so-called tetragonal-like phase is actually monoclinically distorted (possessing $C_{c}$, $C_{m}$, $P_{m}$, or $P_{c}$ symmetry) [100–103]. Nonetheless, a thorough understanding of the complex structure of these phase boundaries in BiFeO$_{3}$ remained incomplete.

Using a combination of high-resolution X-ray diffraction and scanning-probe microscopy-based studies, however, Damodaran et al. [104] have uniquely identified and examined the numerous phases present at these phase boundaries and discovered an intermediate monoclinic phase in addition to the previously observed rhombohedral- and tetragonal-like phases. Further analysis has determined that the so-called mixed-phase regions of these films were not mixtures of the rhombohedral- and tetragonal-like phases, but intimate mixtures of a highly distorted monoclinic phase with no evidence for the presence of the rhombohedral-like parent phase. This work also provided a mechanism for the enhanced electromechanical response observed in these films, including how these phases interact at the nanoscale to produce large surface strains. This was obtained by completing detailed local electric-field-dependent switching and capturing both the topography (left images, Fig. 9) and the out-of-plane orientation of the polarization (right images, Fig. 9) of the sample at a range of electric fields. By navigating the hysteretic nature of electric field response in this material, a number of important features of this system were revealed: (1) the large surface strains (4–5%) occur any time the material transforms form a mixed-phase structure to the highly distorted monoclinic phase, (2) these transformations between these two states are likely reversible, and (3) there are numerous pathways to achieve large electromechanical responses in these materials – including ones that do not need switching of the polar state of the sample. This, in turn, provides a plausible mechanism for the enhanced electromechanical response. The key appears to be the ability to transform between the different phases through a diffusion-less phase transition (in similar fashion to the behavior of a martensitic phase).

A number of additional studies on strain-induced phases have been reported in recent months. This includes a detailed neutron scattering study of a nearly phase-pure film of the highly distorted tetragonal-like phase which confirms antiferromagnetism with largely G-type character and a $T_{N} = 324$ K, a minority magnetic phase with C-type character, and suggests that the co-existence of the two magnetic phases and the difference in ordering temperatures from the bulk phase can be explained through simple Fe–O–Fe bond distance considerations [105]. Other reports suggest the possibility of a reversible temperature-induced phase transition at $\sim 100$ °C in the highly distorted tetragonal-like phase as studied by temperature-dependent...
Raman measurements [106]. Finally there are reports of a concomitant structural and ferroelectric transformation at ~85 °C. Based on the study of phonon excitations, as investigated by using Raman scattering as a function of temperature, the low-energy phonon modes related to the FeO₆ octahedron tilting show anomalous behaviors upon cooling through this temperature – including an increase of intensity by one order of magnitude and the appearance of a dozen new modes [107]. Other recent reports have investigated the emergence of an enhanced spontaneous magnetization in the so-called mixed phase structures [108] and that the magnetic Néel temperature of the strained BiFeO₃ is suppressed to around room temperature and that the ferroelectric state undergoes a first-order transition to another ferroelectric state simultaneously with the magnetic transition [109]. This has strong implications for room temperature magnetoelectric applications. Finally, other reports have investigated the driving force for the formation of these so-called mixed-phase structures and have revealed that the complex mixed-phase structure likely occurs as the consequence of a strain-induced spinodal instability [110]. Truly this is an exciting and fast-moving field of study today. Such electric-field- and temperature-induced changes of the phase admixture is also reminiscent of the CMR manganites or the relaxor ferroelectrics and is accompanied by large piezoelectric strains, but there appears to be much more to these mixed-phase structures that are a worthy field of further study.

4. Engineering new functionalities with multiferroics

One of the major questions in the study of multiferroics today is how and when will multiferroics make their way into a room-temperature device and what will these devices look like? In early 2005, a number of so-called magnetoelectronic devices based on magnetoelectric materials were proposed [111]. The idea was a simple one, namely to use the net magnetic moment created by an electric field in a magnetoelectric thin film to change the magnetization of a neighboring ferromagnetic layer through exchange coupling. The authors went on to propose a number of electrically tunable giant magnetoresistance (GMR) spin valves (Fig. 10a) and tunnel magnetoresistance (TMR) (Fig. 10b) elements that could be made possible if such structures could be achieved. One additional field that could be greatly affected by this research is the burgeoning field of spintronics. Spin-based electronics, or spintronics, has already found successful application in magnetic read-heads and sensors that take advantage of GMR and TMR effects [112–114]. The future of spintronics is partially focused on evolving beyond passive magnetoelectronic components, like those used today, to devices which combine memory and logic functions in one [115]. There has been growing interest in studying a direct method for magnetization reversal involving spin transfer from a spin-polarized current injected into the device. This effect has been theoretically predicted by Slonczewski [116,117] and Berger [118], and has been experimentally confirmed by several groups [119–122].

4.1. Electric field vs. current control of magnetism

From these initial experiments and theoretical treatments, it was found that significant current densities (larger than 10⁶ A cm⁻²) were required for switching the orientation of a magnetic nanowire [120]. One option is to further

![Fig. 10. Multiferroic-based magnetoelectronics. (a) Schematic of the magnetoresistance curve of a GMR device involving a magnetoelectric, multiferroic film as a pinning layer. Half-hysteresis curves are shown, after saturation at positive field values. The change of polarity of the magnetoelectric, multiferroic layer upon application of an electric field changes the direction of the net magnetization of the pinning field. The pinned layer (FM1) switches first at large positive field (red), or second at large negative field (blue). The low field magnetic configuration is therefore either antiparallel (red) or parallel (blue), controlled by the magnetoelectric, multiferroic film. (b) Schematic of the magnetoresistance curve of a TMR device involving a magnetoelectric, multiferroic film as a tunnel barrier. Half-hysteresis curves are shown, after saturation at positive field values. The arrows denote the magnetization directions, with the bottom layer FM1 being harder (or pinned) than the top one FM2. The dashed curve is the expected TMR behavior. The change of voltage polarity changes the direction of the net magnetization of the magnetoelectric, multiferroic layer, adding an exchange bias magnetic field to the resistance curve. The two colors indicate shifting of half-hysteresis curves towards positive or negative fields, depending on the polarity of the applied voltage. At zero magnetic field, the change of voltage polarity changes the resistance value of the device (dashed) (adapted from Ref. [111]). (For interpretation of the references to color in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)](image-url)
scale down materials so that spin-transfer becomes a more attractive alternative to stray magnetic field techniques. In the end, integration of such effects into actual devices has been limited because there are a number of technical difficulties involved in reliably making such small structures, applying such large currents – while avoiding heating of the samples, and based on the fact that the intrinsic sample resistance (on the order of a few ohms) further limits the practical use for GMR devices. Similar issues are found in TMR devices, which are hindered by fact that a large current density must pass through a very thin insulator and the few reports on TMR systems to date have been inconclusive [123,124].

4.2. Electric field control of ferromagnetism

The overall motivating question for this section is a simple one: can we deterministically control ferromagnetism at room temperature with an electric field? One possible solution to this question is to utilize heterostructures of existing multiferroic materials, such as BiFeO3, to create new pathways to functionalities not presented in nature. Such a concept is illustrated in Fig. 11. The idea is to take advantage of two different types of coupling in materials – intrinsic magnetoelectric coupling like that in multiferroic materials such as BiFeO3, which will allow for electrical control of antiferromagnetism, and the extrinsic exchange coupling between ferromagnetic and antiferromagnetic materials – to create new functionalities in materials (Fig. 11a). By utilizing these different types of coupling we can then effectively couple ferroelectric and ferromagnetic order at room temperature and create an alternative pathway to electrical control of ferromagnetism (Fig. 11b). But what exactly are the opportunities for using multiferroics to gain electrical control over interactions like exchange bias anisotropy? Until recently the materials and the understanding of the appropriate materials did not exist to make this a plausible undertaking. Let us investigate, in detail, the work done in this field of study.

4.3. Exchange bias with multiferroic antiferromagnets

In the time since the proposal of these magnetoelectronics, studies have been done on a number of multiferroic materials. Among the earliest work was a study of heterostructures of the soft ferromagnet permalloy on YMnO3 [125]. This report found that, indeed, the multiferroic layer could be used as an antiferromagnetic pinning layer that gives rise to exchange bias and enhanced coercivity, but suggested that YMnO3 would likely be an inappropriate choice for continued study as these values varied greatly with crystal orientation and rendered actual device generation unlikely. Soon after this initial result, Marti et al. [126] reported the observation of exchange bias in all-oxide heterostructure of the ferromagnet SrRuO3 and the antiferromagnetic, multiferroic YMnO3. In both of these studies, the exchange bias existed only at very low temperatures due to the low magnetic ordering temperature of the YMnO3. Around the same time, the first studies using BiFeO3 as the multiferroic, antiferromagnet layer were appearing with hopes that these intriguing properties could be extended to high temperatures. Dho et al. [127] showed the existence of exchange bias in spin-valve structures based on permalloy and BiFeO3 at room temperature and Béa et al. [128] extended this idea to demonstrate how BiFeO3 films could be used in first-generation spintronics devices. This work included the use of ultrathin BiFeO3 tunnel barriers in magnetic tunnel junctions with LSCO/MnO and Co electrodes where positive TMR up to ~30% was observed at 3 K and also demonstrated that room temperature exchange bias could be generated using CoFeB/BiFeO3 heterostructures. Finally, Martin et al. [129] reported the growth and characterization of exchange bias and spin valve heterostructures based on CoFeB/BiFeO3 heterostructures on Si substrates. In this work large negative exchange bias values (typically 150–200 Oe in magnitude) were observed along with the absence of a training effect – or a systematic decrease in the magnitude of the exchange bias with repeated magnetic cycling (confirming the results of Béa et al. [128]) – even with over 14,000 magnetization reversal cycles. This work also demonstrated room temperature magnetoresistance of ~2.25% for spin valve structures of 2.5 nm CoFeB/2 nm Cu/5 nm CoFeB/100 nm BiFeO3 (Fig. 12). What these initial studies established was that exchange bias with antiferromagnetic multiferroics was possible in a static manner, but these studies had not yet demonstrated dynamic control of exchange coupling in these systems.

Fig. 11. Schematics illustrating the design algorithm for gaining electrical control of ferromagnetism. (a) By combining multiferroics together with traditional ferromagnets, we can create heterostructures that might have new functionalities. (b) These structures rely on two types of coupling – magnetoelectric and exchange bias – to gain electrical control of ferromagnetism (adapted from Ref. [47]).
A first attempt at this concept was done by Borisov et al. [130], who reported that they could affect changes on the exchange bias field in Cr$_2$O$_3$ (111)/(Co/Pt)$_3$ heterostructures by using the magnetoelectric nature of the substrate (Cr$_2$O$_3$) and a series of different cooling treatments with applied electric and magnetic fields. A unique aspect of this work was the ability to change the sign of the exchange bias with different field cooling treatments. Dynamic switching of the exchange bias field with an applied electric field, however, remained elusive until a report by Laukhin et al. [131] focusing on YMnO$_3$ at 2 K. Utilizing heterostructures of permalloy and (0001) YMnO$_3$ films, the authors demonstrated that after cooling samples from 300 to 2 K in an applied field of 3 kOe and at various applied electric field biases, significant changes in the magnitude of magnetization was observed (Fig. 13a). Subsequent cycling of the voltage at low temperatures resulted in reversal of the magnetization direction in the heterostructure (Fig. 13b).

In the last few years, significant advancement in the understanding of the interactions present in such heterostructures has occurred. Initial reports noted an inverse relationship between domain size in BiFeO$_3$ film and the exchange bias measured in CoFeB/BiFeO$_3$ heterostructures [132]. This initial report offered little detail on how the domain structures were controlled and the nature of the domain walls present in the films. A study that soon followed found a correlation not only to the density of domain walls, but also to the density of certain types of domain walls [50]. What was observed was the presence of two distinctly different types of magnetic properties for Co$_{0.9}$Fe$_{0.1}$/BiFeO$_3$ heterostructures (Fig. 14a and b). Through careful control of the growth process – specifically controlling the growth rate of the BiFeO$_3$ films – the authors were able to create two starkly different types of domains structures: so-called stripe-like (Fig. 14c) and mosaic-like (Fig. 14d) domain structures. These different structures were found to possess vastly different fractions of the different domain walls that can exist in BiFeO$_3$ (Fig. 14e and f). It was observed that not only was there
an inverse relationship between domain size and the magnitude of the exchange bias measured (Fig. 14g), but that it was directly related to the density and total length of 109° domain walls present in the sample (Fig. 14h). In addition to identifying the importance of 109° domain walls in creating exchange bias (and in turn suggesting the relationship with enhanced magnetism in BiFeO₃ thin films), this report outlined the idea that two distinctly different types of exchange interactions are occurring in these exchange bias heterostructures. The first interaction was called an exchange bias interaction and takes place between pinned, uncompensated spin occurring at 109° domain walls in BiFeO₃ and spins in the CO₀.₉Fe₀.₁ layer. This interaction results in a shift of the magnetic hysteresis loop for the ferromagnetic layer. The second interaction has been called an exchange enhancement interaction and it arises from an interaction of the spins in the ferromagnet and the fully compensated (001) surface of the G-type antiferromagnetic surface of BiFeO₃. This interaction results in an enhancement of the coercive field of the ferromagnetic layer.

4.4. Room temperature electric field control of ferromagnetic domain structures

Utilizing these findings, researchers have moved to create the first room temperature devices designed to enable control of ferromagnetism with an electric field. Initial results point to the ability to utilize the above exchange enhancement interaction to deterministically change the direction of ferromagnetic domains by 90° upon application of an applied electric field (Fig. 15)[133]. By creating very high quality CO₀.₉Fe₀.₁/BiFeO₃/SrRuO₃/SrTiO₃ (001) heterostructures, the authors were able to demonstrate the first example of a room temperature device structure that utilizes a multiferroic material to access new functionalities in materials. This work also outlined the complexity of such an undertaking. It has become apparent that in order to achieve significant advances with such systems one will need to understand and be able to control (at least at some level) the coupling between the two (in this case dissimilar) materials which requires that one have a perfunctory understanding of the various energies scales at play (including shape anisotropy effects, how processing effects the interfacial coupling strength, magnetostriction effects, and more). This initial work also demonstrated the importance of length scales in this work as the observed ferromagnetic domain structures were typically much more complex than the underlying ferroelectric domain structures, suggesting that diminished feature sizes could give rise to single magnetic domain configurations and therefore a more robust and simple device. In this spirit, current work is focused on making the coupling in such heterostructures more robust in hopes of extending this coupling to high temperatures and producing more deterministic control of electric field switching.

More recently, attention has turned back to one of the earliest studied magnetoelectric materials, Cr₂O₃, and a careful study has given rise to exciting work in electric field control of ferromagnetism. Using a combination of modern thin film growth techniques, magnetometry, spin-polarized photoemission spectroscopy, symmetry arguments, and...
and first-principles, He et al. [134] studied Pd/Co multilayers deposited on (0001) surface of the antiferromagnet Cr$_2$O$_3$ and demonstrated reversible, room temperature isothermal switching of the exchange bias field from positive to negative values by reversing the electric field under a constant magnetic field. The study revealed that the (0001) surface of Cr$_2$O$_3$ can be controlled to exhibit a single spin type (Fig. 16a) that gives rise to a strong exchange bias. Magnetic hysteresis loops as a function of various magnetoelectric annealing processes at non-zero electric and magnetic fields reveal the development of exchange bias (Fig. 16b and c), and eventual application of alternating electric fields (+2.6 kV mm$^{-1}$ and −2 kV mm$^{-1}$) at a constant magnetic field (−154 mT) resulted in electric field control of the sign of exchange bias (Fig. 16d).

4.5. Electronic reconstruction and coupling at oxide interfaces

In contrast to the metallic ferromagnet–multiferroic heterostructure described above, one can envision a much broader range of interactions at all-oxide interfaces. The La$_{0.7}$Sr$_{0.3}$MnO$_3$–BiFeO$_3$ epitaxial heterostructure presents a good model system to explore such interactions. While exchange coupling at such interfaces typically focuses on the spin degrees of freedom and how they interact at the interface, in oxide systems, especially those with transition metal ions, the orbital and lattice degrees of freedom can be equally active. Recent studies focusing on 20–75 nm BiFeO$_3$/5 nm La$_{0.7}$Sr$_{0.3}$MnO$_3$/SrTiO$_3$ (001) heterostructures suggest that by changing the coupling from being direct in nature to indirect across an interface possessing a continuous chemical structure (i.e. Mn–O–Fe bonds that extend across the interface), complex interactions can occur (Fig. 17a) [135]. Through the use of detailed magnetometry, and synchrotron-based X-ray magnetic dichroism studies, the authors reported the formation of a novel ferromagnetic state in the antiferromagnet BiFeO$_3$ at the interface. Using X-ray magnetic circular dichroism at Mn and Fe $L_{2,3}$ edges (Fig. 17b and c), it was observed that the development of this ferromagnetic spin structure was strongly associated with the onset of a significant exchange bias. The results demonstrate that the magnetic state is directly related to an electronic orbital reconstruction at the interface, which is supported by linearly polarized X-ray absorption measurement at the oxygen K edge. In the end, it is such structures, however, that might represent the ultimate manifestation of new functionality if one can engineer and control these different degrees of freedom to some effect.

4.6. Multiferroic and magnetoelectric devices

Applications of coupled behavior can be broadly classified into the following three groups:

(i) High-frequency applications – the coupling between the spin and charge lattice (ferroelectromagnons), which typically occurs around 500–1000 GHz, is then modulated with an electric or magnetic field, akin to FMR devices. A related manifestation is a ferromagnet–multiferroic heterostructure in which resonance in the ferromagnetic layer is controlled by an electric field applied to the multiferroic.

(ii) A second class of applications relates to the control of spin transport with electric fields, in either a tunnel junction or spin valve geometry.

(iii) A third manifestation would be the use of such multiferroics in information storage elements, similar to a nonvolatile ferroelectric memory (FRAM), but one in which both the magnetic and ferroelectric state are used, either independently or in unison.

The potential applications of multiferroics and magnetoelectrics were reviewed by Scott [136]. It is important...
to note that although ferroelectric random access memories (FeRAMs) have achieved fast access speeds (5 ns) and high densities (64 Mb) in a number of different materials, they remain limited by the need for a destructive read and reset operation. The appeal of multiferroics is that they offer the possibility of combining the best qualities of FeRAMs and MRAMs: fast low-power electrical write operation, and non-destructive magnetic read operation. At the 256 Mbit level, such memory devices [137] would be a “disruptive technology” and could eliminate competition such as EEPROMs (electrically erasable programmable read-only memories) for applications including megapixel photo-memories for digital cameras or audio memories in devices such as mp3 players. It is fair to state, however, that work

Fig. 16. Electric field control of magnetism with Cr₂O₃. (a) The spin structure of a Cr₂O₃ single crystal with a terraced (0001) surface is shown for one of its two antiferromagnetic single-domain states. Up (red) and down (dark blue) spins of the Cr³⁺ ions (green spheres) point along the c axis. (b) Exchange-biased hysteresis loops of Cr₂O₃ (0001)/Pd 0.5 nm/Co 0.6 nm/Pd 1.0 nm, at T = 303 K after initial magnetoelectric annealing in $E = 0.1 \text{ kV mm}^{-1}$ and $H = 77.8 \text{ mT}$. Hysteresis loops are measured by polar Kerr magnetometry at $E = 0$. The red squares show the virgin curve with a positive exchange-bias field of $+6 \text{ mT}$. Isothermal-field exposure in $E = -2.6 \text{ kV mm}^{-1}$ and $H = 154 \text{ mT}$ gives rise to a loop with a negative exchange-bias field of $-13 \text{ mT}$ (green triangles). (c) The red squares show the same virgin reference loop. The blue circles show the hysteresis loop after isothermal-field exposure in $E = 2.6 \text{ kV mm}^{-1}$ and $H = -154 \text{ mT}$, giving rise to the same negative exchange bias of $-13 \text{ mT}$. (d) Exchange bias field vs. number of repeated isothermal switching through exposure to $E = 2.6 \text{ kV mm}^{-1}$ (blue circles) and $-2 \text{ kV mm}^{-1}$ (red squares) at constant $H = -154 \text{ mT}$, respectively (adapted from Ref. [134]). (For interpretation of the references to color in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)
on possible applications is still in its infancy and the field would benefit significantly with strong device-related research.

Over the last few years, a number of new devices based on multiferroic materials and heterostructures have been demonstrated and proposed. In early 2007, Ju et al. [138] presented a theoretical investigation of an electrically controllable spin filter based on a multiferroic tunnel junction that could be switched between multiple resistance states. Soon after this, Gajek et al. [139] demonstrated the production of four logic states based on ultrathin multiferroic films used as barriers in spin-filter-type tunnel junctions. The junctions were made of La$_0.1$Bi$_{0.9}$MnO$_3$, which was proven to be both ferroelectric and magnetic down to film thickness of only 2 nm and the devices exploited the magnetic and ferroelectric degrees of freedom of that layer. The ferromagnetism permitted read operations reminiscent of MRAM and the electrical switching evoked FeRAM write operations without the need for destructive ferroelectric readout. The results (Fig. 18a) suggest that it is possible...
to encode quaternary information by both ferromagnetic and ferroelectric order parameters, and to read it non-destructively by a resistance measurement. This work represented the starting point for future studies on the interplay between ferroelectricity and spin-dependent tunneling using multiferroic barrier layers and in a wider perspective, suggested a new pathway toward novel reconfigurable logic spintronic architectures.

Yang et al. [140] proposed that eight different logic states could be achieved by combining spin-filter effects and the screening of polarization charges between two electrodes through a multiferroic tunnel barrier (Fig. 18b). In this work, the conductance ratio was found to be dependent on the magnitude of the ferroelectric polarization, exchange splitting, barrier width, and bias voltage. In 2009, Jia and Berakdar [141] proposed a modified spin-field-effect transistor fabricated in a two-dimensional electron gas (2DEG) formed at the surface of multiferroic oxides with a transverse helical magnetic order. The local magnetic moments in the oxide are said to induce a resonant momentum-dependent effective spin–orbit interaction acting on the 2DEG and thus the carrier spin precession is dependent on the magnetic spin helicity that can be electrically controlled in the multiferroic. Such a device could, in turn, be used as a nanometer-scale, decoherence-suppressed spin field-effect transistor and as a nanometer flash-memory device.

5. Future directions and conclusions

We hope that this review has captured some of the exciting new developments in the field of complex oxides, multiferroics and magnetoelectrics, especially from a thin film perspective. New developments are occurring at a rapid pace, throwing further light onto the complexities inherent to these materials. The dramatic progress in thin film heterostructure and nanostructure growth has been a key enabler fueling these discoveries. Since the advent of the superconducting cuprates, complex oxides have emerged as wonderful tools to probe the role of complexity induced by the interactions between the spin, charge, orbital, and lattice degrees of freedom that are pervasive in transition metal oxides. Soon after the cuprates, work on ferroelectric oxides emerged, followed by the colossal magnetoresistance effect in the doped manganites. Transitioning fundamental materials discoveries into real products involves many steps, including pathways to design and create device structures that can then be inserted into systems architectures and the understanding of how these new technologies impact existing markets. A continued limitation of investment into manufacturing may remain a concern for the oxide field for years to come.

In turn, one of the biggest challenges facing the field of multiferroics today is the need for room temperature functionality. Thus, it is essential that the field works to include both thin film heterostructure and bulk synthesis methods and broadens the search for new candidate multiferroics. The interplay between ab initio, density functional theoretical approaches and controlled synthetic approaches (be it single crystal growth or MBE-like heteroepitaxial thin film growth) is critical. Thin film heterostructures further provide an additional degree of freedom through the mismatch strain; here again, the intimate interplay between theoretical predictions [142] and film growth is imperative. If deterministic control and manipulation of ferromagnetism are desired, then interactions across heterointerfaces will become important as we attempt to design systems capable of these functionalities. Domains, domain walls, and defects will undoubtedly play a critical role in unraveling the coupling phenomena. Further, in such heterostructure-based coupling, differences between interactions with classical itinerant ferromagnets and double exchange ferromagnets (such as the manganite) need to be explored in depth as well. In thin films, heteroepitaxial constraints (such as strain, clamping, and possibly surface termination) are important variables. This is truly a challenge for interdisciplinary condensed matter research.

At a more fundamental science level, complex oxides provide a versatile class of materials for the exploration of coupling and interplay amongst charge, spin, orbital, and lattice degrees of freedom. These interactions lead to novel (and exotic) ground states for the system that can be manipulated by external perturbations. The ability to engineer artificial heterostructures down to the unit cell level through MBE and related techniques provides unprecedented access to quantum phenomena in oxides. At the crystal chemistry level, the interplay between ionic sizes and oxygen coordination chemistry leads to tilts and rotations of the oxygen octahedra. Control and manipulation of these degrees of freedom, especially at heterointerfaces where symmetry breaking is easily achieved, has captured the interest of researchers in the field and is likely to be an active area of research. The orbital degree of freedom, however, is still a relatively less explored aspect. An ideal manifestation would be room temperature electric field control of the orbital order in perovskites such as the manganites. Another area that presents both scientific challenges and opportunities relates to the properties of domain walls, especially conduction at domain walls in otherwise insulating ferroelectrics. A critical question is this: can we possibly create an insulator–metal transition at the wall, i.e. can the walls exhibit metallic conduction? If this is possible through careful control of the electronic structure at the wall as well as through external constraints (epitaxy, defect chemistry, etc.), this is likely to be a major breakthrough, since the domain walls in ferroelectrics are truly “nano-objects” (width of the order of a few nm) and they can be manipulated (written, erased and relocated) using electric fields.

In the end, as we look back at the development of complex oxide research we see that a series of exciting discoveries, from high $T_c$ superconductivity to multiferroism, has propelled the greater field of oxides to the forefront of condensed matter physics. The diverse functionality of
oxide materials means that this breakthrough could drive the field towards many of the major scientific questions that face us today – from energy, to medicine, to communications, and beyond.
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