## Surface, bulk, and interface electronic states of epitaxial BiFeO<sub>3</sub> films

Jun Zhang<sup>a)</sup> and M. Rutkowski

Department of Physics, Ohio State University, Columbus, Ohio 43210

L. W. Martin, T. Conry, and R. Ramesh Department of Materials Science and Engineering and Department of Physics, University of California-Berkeley, Berkeley, California 94720 and Materials Science Division, Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, Berkeley, California 94720

J. F. Ihlefeld, A. Melville, and D. G. Schlom Department of Materials Science and Engineering, Cornell University, Ithaca, New York 14853

L. J. Brillson

Department of Electrical and Computer Engineering and Department of Physics, Ohio State University, Columbus, Ohio 43210

(Received 17 February 2009; accepted 14 April 2009; published 30 July 2009)

The authors report on the depth-resolved cathodoluminescence spectroscopy studies of the surface, bulk, and interface-localized electronic states in the band gap of epitaxial BiFeO<sub>3</sub> thin films. The BiFeO<sub>3</sub> films show a near band edge emission at 2.7 eV and defect emissions at energies varying from 2.0 to 2.5 eV. The overall results clearly suggest that the electronic structure, especially the defect states and their spatial distributions, of BiFeO<sub>3</sub> films are strongly dependent on the growth conditions and method, stoichiometry, and strain, so that understanding and controlling them are crucial to optimize BiFeO<sub>3</sub> film properties. © 2009 American Vacuum Society. [DOI: 10.1116/1.3130152]

BiFeO<sub>3</sub> thin films have recently received considerable interest due to the simultaneous ferroelectric and magnetic properties and potential applications in magnetoelectric devices.<sup>1,2</sup> Investigations of the electronic structure, in particular, the band gap and defect states of BiFeO<sub>3</sub> films, are of particular importance due to their effects on internal electric fields, free carrier density and recombination, and high frequency dielectric loss. Therefore, a complete understanding of the state and distributions of defects in BiFeO<sub>3</sub> films is crucial to improving and optimizing their electric and ferroelectric properties. For example, the electrical leakage problem sometimes found in BiFeO<sub>3</sub> films could be due to defects.

In this article, using depth-resolved cathodoluminescence spectroscopy (DRCLS),<sup>3</sup> we have compared the surface, bulk, and interface-localized electronic states in the band gap of epitaxial BiFeO<sub>3</sub> thin films grown by pulsed-laser deposition (PLD), metal organic chemical vapor deposition (CVD), and molecular-beam epitaxy (MBE). We found that different growth techniques, as well as processing conditions, have a strong effect on the electronic states of the BiFeO<sub>3</sub> thin films. Moreover, the states and distributions of defects also depend on the stoichiometry and strain in the film.

The BiFeO<sub>3</sub> samples studied are single-phase high-quality epitaxial films grown on SrTiO<sub>3</sub> or DyScO<sub>3</sub> substrates with SrRuO<sub>3</sub> or La<sub>0.7</sub>Sr<sub>0.3</sub>MnO<sub>3</sub> buffer layers.<sup>4–7</sup> The DRCLS measurements were performed in an ultrahigh vacuum chamber with samples indirectly cooled with a helium cryotip to  $\sim$ 42 K. Incident electron beam current was held constant at 2 mA and the beam voltage (*E<sub>B</sub>*) was varied from 0.5 to 5 kV

so that beam power increased with increasing  $E_B$ . For  $E_B = 1, 2, 3, 4$ , and 5 keV, Monte Carlo simulations of the electron cascade yield penetration depths of ~18, 45, 75, 110, and 150 nm, respectively, below the bare BiFeO<sub>3</sub> surface.

The cathodoluminescence (CL) spectra measured at a beam voltage of 1 kV are shown in Fig. 1 for BiFeO<sub>3</sub> films grown by different methods. The BiFeO<sub>3</sub> films grown by PLD show strong emissions at 2.5 and 2.7 eV, and weak features around 2.2 eV, similar to the CL features observed in the BiFeO<sub>3</sub> films grown by magnetron sputtering.<sup>4</sup> The 2.7 eV feature is the near band edge emission, and the 2.5 eV feature is oxygen vacancy related defect emission.<sup>8,9</sup> However, for the films grown by MBE and CVD, the strong CL features shift to lower energies, 2.2 and 2.4 eV for the MBEgrown film, and 2.0 and 2.2 eV for the CVD-grown film, respectively. The shift in the CL features indicates the dependence of the electronic states of BiFeO<sub>2</sub> films on the growth process. For example, it is well known that proper oxygen stoichiometry control during MBE growth is a serious issue, and as a result, the MBE-grown film might have higher concentration of oxygen vacancies. In addition, it is notable that the BiFeO<sub>3</sub> films were grown on different buffer layers, 20 nm (La, Sr) MnO<sub>3</sub> for the MBE-grown film and 50 nm SrRuO<sub>3</sub> for the PLD- and CVD-grown films. Such differences might also affect the growth and the quality of the BiFeO<sub>3</sub> films. Further investigation is underway to explore the detailed effect of growth conditions, such as growth temperature and oxygen pressure, on the electronic states of BiFeO<sub>3</sub> films.

The defect states of BiFeO<sub>3</sub> films are sensitive to the postgrowth cooling condition as well. Shown in Figs. 2(a) and 2(b) are the CL spectra of the PLD-grown BiFeO<sub>3</sub> films

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a)</sup>Electronic mail: jzhang@mps.ohio-sate.edu



FIG. 1. (Color online) CL spectra measured at a beam voltage of 1 kV (corresponding to a penetration depth of 18 nm) for  $BiFeO_3$  films grown by PLD, MBE, and CVD.

cooled in 100 mTorr  $O_2$  and 760 Torr  $O_2$ , respectively. The film cooled at lower  $O_2$  pressure shows a prominent 2.0 eV peak for all penetration depths, but the film cooled at higher  $O_2$  pressure only shows weak 2.0 eV feature at the penetration depths deeper than 50 nm. The result suggests that the 2.0 eV defect is possibly oxygen vacancy related, and postgrowth cooling in  $O_2$  with higher pressure could reduce the density of oxygen vacancies in BiFeO<sub>3</sub> films, especially at the top surface. It is interesting that a strong emission at 2.5 eV is observed for both films and does not seem to be as sensitive to the cooling  $O_2$  pressure as the 2.0 eV emission.



FIG. 2. (Color online) Depth resolved CL spectra of the PLD-grown  $BiFeO_3$  films cooled at different  $O_2$  pressures: (a) 100 mTorr and (b) 760 Torr.



2013

FIG. 3. (Color online) CL spectra measured at a beam voltage of 1 kV (corresponding to a penetration depth of 18 nm) for Bi-deficient  $BiFeO_3$  films grown by MBE.

The effect of stoichiometry on the defect states of BiFeO<sub>3</sub> films has also been studied. A series of Bi-deficient BiFeO3 films was grown by MBE by controlling the growth temperature.<sup>7</sup> It has been shown that BiFeO<sub>3</sub> films become more Bi deficient as the growth temperature increases.' Figure 3 shows the CL spectra of these films measured at beam voltages of 1 kV, corresponding to a penetration depth of 18 nm. Although the films show very similar CL spectra, the relative intensities of the defect emissions vary with the Bi deficiency. As the Bi deficiency increases, although the overall intensity of CL spectra decreases, the intensities of the 2.0 and 2.2 eV features increase relatively to the 2.5 eV defect emission and the 2.7 eV near band edge emission. It is notable that the Bi deficiency has different effects on the different defect states. More work needs to be done to understand the relationship between Bi deficiency and the defect states and to examine the possibility that the 2.0 and/or 2.2 eV emissions may relate to Bi vacancies.

Finally we investigated the effect of strain on the electronic states of BiFeO<sub>3</sub> films. Figures 4(a) and 4(b) show the CL spectra of two BiFeO3 thin film samples grown on SrTiO<sub>3</sub> and DyScO<sub>3</sub> substrates, respectively, with 50 nm thick SrRuO<sub>3</sub> buffer layers. The two samples were grown at exactly the same conditions and have the same layer structure and thickness except for the different substrates. Due to the different lattice mismatches, the BiFeO<sub>3</sub> film grown on SrTiO<sub>3</sub> substrate should be under a compressive strain of  $\sim$ 1.4%, while the film grown on DyScO<sub>3</sub> substrate should be under a compressive strain of only  $\sim 0.2\%$ . Both films show defect emissions at 2.5 and 2.0 eV, but the film under very little strain shows an additional strong emission at 2.2 eV, which is absent for the highly strained film. Moreover, lattice distortion in substrate could also have effects on the structure and electronic states of the buffer layers and BiFeO<sub>3</sub> films. Compared to SrTiO<sub>3</sub>, DyScO<sub>3</sub> has a highly distorted lattice and may induce more defects.

The overall DRCLS results clearly indicate that the electronic structure, especially the defect states and their spatial distributions, of  $BiFeO_3$  films are strongly dependent on the film growth technique, process condition, stoichiometry, and



FIG. 4. (Color online) Depth resolved CL spectra of the PLD-grown BiFeO<sub>3</sub> films grown on different substrates: (a) SrTiO<sub>3</sub> and (b) DyScO<sub>3</sub>.

strain. BiFeO<sub>3</sub> films show the 2.7 eV band edge emission and defect emissions at 2.0, 2.2, and 2.5 eV. The 2.7 eV band edge emission is in good agreement with the predicted band gap of 2.8 eV for BiFeO<sub>3</sub> using a screened exchange method<sup>8</sup> and the measured band gap.<sup>4,10</sup> Moreover, initial theoretical calculation suggests that defect states due to oxygen vacancies lead to transitions at  $\sim 0.3$  eV below the band gap,<sup>9</sup> which is consistent with the our oxygen vacancy related defect emission observed at 2.5 eV. The effect of cooling  $O_2$  pressure on defect states suggests that the 2.0 eV defect state is more sensitive to cooling conditions than the 2.5 eV state. In addition, the defect states at 2.0, 2.2, and 2.5 eV show different responses to film stoichiometry and strain. The 2.0 and 2.2 eV emissions become relatively stronger than the 2.5 eV emission as Bi deficiency increases, and the 2.2 eV emission is stronger in the weakly strained films.

In summary, the DRCLS of the BiFeO<sub>3</sub> films show near band edge emission at 2.7 eV and defect emissions around 2.0, 2.2, and 2.5 eV. The defect states and their distributions are strongly dependent on the film growth technique, process condition, stoichiometry, and strain. In general, the films processed under high oxygen pressure and with high Bi content tend to have less oxygen vacancies. The results suggest that controlling growth conditions, stoichiometry, and strain are crucial to optimize BiFeO<sub>3</sub> film properties and related magnetoelectric devices.

## ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The authors (J.Z., M.R., and L.J.B) gratefully acknowledge support from NSF Grant No. DMR-0820414.

- <sup>1</sup>R. Ramesh and N. A. Spaldin, Nature Mater. **6**, 21 (2007).
- <sup>2</sup>Y. Chu, L. W. Martin, M. B. Holcomb, M. Gajek, S. Han, Q. He, N. Balke, C. Yang, D. Lee, W. Hu, Q. Zhan, P. Yang, A. Fraile-Rodríguez, A. Scholl, S. X. Wang, and R. Ramesh, Nature Mater. 7, 478 (2008).
- <sup>3</sup>J. Zhang, S. Walsh, C. Brooks, D. G. Schlom, and L. J. Brillson, J. Vac. Sci. Technol. B 26, 1466 (2008).
- <sup>4</sup>A. J. Hauser, J. Zhang, L. Mier, R. A. Ricciardo, P. M. Woodward, T. L. Gustafson, L. J. Brillson, and F. Y. Yang, Appl. Phys. Lett. 92, 222901 (2008).
- <sup>5</sup>Y.-H. Chu, M. P. Cruz, C. Yang, L. W. Martin, P. Yang, J. Zhang, K. Lee, P. Yu, L. Chen, and R. Ramesh, Adv. Mater. (Weinheim, Ger.) 19, 2662 (2007).
- <sup>6</sup>S. Y. Yang, F. Zavaliche, L. Mohaddes-Ardabili, V. Vaithyanathan, D. G. Schlom, Y. J. Lee, Y. H. Chu, M. P. Cruz, Q. Zhan, T. Zhao, and R. Rames, Appl. Phys. Lett. 87, 102903 (2005).
- <sup>7</sup>J. F. Ihlefeld, N. J. Podraza, Z. K. Liu, R. C. Rai, X. Xu, T. Heeg, Y. B. Chen, J. Li, R. W. Collins, J. L. Musfeldt, X. Q. Pan, J. Schubert, R. Ramesh, and D. G. Schlom, Appl. Phys. Lett. 92, 142908 (2008).
- <sup>8</sup>S. J. Clark and J. Robertson, Appl. Phys. Lett. **90**, 132903 (2007).
- <sup>9</sup>J. Robertson (private communication).
- <sup>10</sup>R. Basu, S. R. Basu, L. W. Martin, Y. H. Chu, M. Gajek, R. Ramesh, R. C. Rai, X. Xu, and J. L. Musfeldt, Appl. Phys. Lett. 92, 091905 (2008).